

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,127	11/19/2003	Kevin T. Chan	13549US02	7280
23446	7590 11/16/2004	EXAMINER		
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400			BOCURE, TI	ESFALDET
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60661		2631	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,127	CHAN, KEVIN T.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tesfaldet Bocure	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No	ovember 2003.				
·— ·	action is non-final.				
·— · · ·					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary	PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the title of the invention in the abstract of the disclosure should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The "cross-referenced to the related US patent applications" should be updated by the corresponding patent number and issue date.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 17-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 4-11 of U. S. Patent No. 6,389,077 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Art Unit: 2631

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The claimed subject matter in the instant application differs from that of US patent number 6,389,077, in that: a communication system including a differential signal transmitter, the transmitter comprising:

- a DAC decoder circuit, the DAC decoder circuit outputting DAC control words Corresponding to digital input signals;
- a differential current mode driver cell array;
- a selection circuit, the selection circuit asserting control signals in operative response to DAC control words, the selection circuit placing individual cells of the current driver cell array *into a first operational mode sensitive to a first metric or into a second operational mode sensitive to a second metric* in response to a select signal, "has been claimed in claim 17 of the instant application while as "a communication system including a differential signal transmitter, the transmitter comprising:
- a DAC decoder circuit, the DAC decoder circuit outputting DAC control words corresponding to digital input signals;
- a differential current mode driver cell array;
- a selection circuit, the selection circuit asserting control signals in operative response to DAC control words, the selection circuit placing individual cells of the current driver cell array *into a first low emission mode or into to a first metric* or into a low power consumption mode," in claim 4 of the US patent. However

Art Unit: 2631

the claimed first operational mode sensitive to a first metric or into a second operational mode sensitive to a second metric has been claimed the dependent claim 5 of the US patent as being low emission mode and low power consumption mode.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent number 6,185,263 and Patent Publication Number US 2004/0105503 issued to Chan and Mohamadzade respectively disclose a line driver having means for operating in both class A and class B modes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tesfaldet Bocure whose telephone number is (571) 272-3015. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30a-5:00p) & Mon.-Fri (7:30a-5:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.Bocure